

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,990	01/09/2002	Geza Bruckner	22740-1A	7684
24256 7590	08/29/2003		·	
DINSMORE &	•		EXAMI	NER
1900 CHEMED (255 EAST FIFTH	TH STREET WEBMAN, EDWARD J			DWARD J
CINCINNATI, O	0H 45202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1617	12
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003	· //

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	101042790	BRUCKNER
	Examiner WEBM	AN Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet b	peneath the correspondence address—
Peri d for Reply	2	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by definition of the period of the peri	a reply within the statutory mininault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication .
Status		1 -
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	5/22	103
This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 		
Disposition of Claims		
\bigcirc Claim(s) \bigcirc		is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s) $4f - 74$ Of the above claim(s) $50-52$, 56	61,66-68,70,7	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) 48,49,53-55,57-60,6	2-65 69,717	3-74 is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
•		requirement.
Application Papers		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	• • •	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	•	
The ball of decidiation is objected to by the Examine	•	
Del eite under 25 H C O S 440 (a) (d)		
		4.0
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received.	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies 	of the priority documents h	nave been
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu 	of the priority documents h mber) International Bureau (PCT	nave been
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the 	of the priority documents h mber) International Bureau (PCT	nave been
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: 	of the priority documents h mber) International Bureau (PCT	nave been
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	of the priority documents has been been been been been been been bee	Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 10/042,990

Art Unit: 1617



The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 48, 49, 53-55, 57-60, 62-65, 69, 71, 73-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson et al. (WO 98/04248) in view of Fort (translated DE 29805782u1).

Jackson et al. Teach a dietary supplement composition for postmenopausal women containing 1-50 mg phytoestrogen (abstract; page 7, line 27; and page 27, line 30). Genistein is specified (page 26, line 5). The composition may be formulated with cereal (page 27, line 11). Phytoestrogen obtaining from soybean is disclosed (page 27, line 30; and claim 47).

However, Jackson et al. do not teach carnitine.

Fort teaches a dietary cereal containing carnitine (title, abstract, page 5 line 15). Support of heart muscle activity and increasing stability against physical stress (page 4 lines 10-13).

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add carnitine to the composition of Jackson et al. to achieve the beneficial effect of supplementing the dietary needs for post-menopausal women, by supporting heart muscle activity and increasing stability against physical stress in view of Fort.

Art Unit: 1617

As to the claimed weight percent, it is within the skill in the art to select optimal parameters such as ratios or weight percents of components in order to achieve a beneficial effect. See <u>In re Boesch</u>, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, the ratios or weight percents instantly claimed are not considered critical absent evidence showing unexpected and superior results.

As to the claimed instructions, such is merely printed matter not considered a patentable limitation in claims to a composition. See MPEP 70603 (a) under "Printed Matter".

Applicants argue that neither reference teaches applicants' treatment, however, intended uses are not considered patentable limitations in composition claims during prosecution before the USPTO.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-3592 for regular communications and 305-1235 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,990

Art Unit: 1617

Webman/tgd August 12, 2003